

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/016,229	9 11/02/2001		Anita W-Y. Chan	AM100305	9006
25291	7590	02/25/2004		EXAMINER	
WYETH				COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY	
PATENT LAW GROUP FIVE GIRALDA FARMS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MADISON, NJ 07940				1624	
				DATE MAILED: 02/25/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/016,229	CHAN, ANITA W-Y.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brenda L. Coleman	1624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 No.	ovember 2003.						
•	action is non-final.						
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 27</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		/					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/30/02,11/20/03, P1/25/03	6) Other:						

Office Action Summary

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-27 are pending in the application.

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in Paper dated November 20, 2003 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the intermediate compounds of Claims 21, 23 and 25 are required in the process of Claim 1 and thus a search of the process of Claim 1 will also involve a search of the compounds of Claims 21, 23 and 25. The applicants additionally stated that the intermediate compounds of Claims 15, 17 and 19 are required in the process of Claim 27 and a search of the process of Claim 27 will involve a search of the intermediate compounds of Claims 15, 17 and 19 and thus it would not be a serious burden for the Examiner to search the intermediate compound claims of Group II while searching for the process claims of Group I. This is not found persuasive because the process of preparing the diazabenzo[cd]cyclopenta[a]azulene compounds of Claim 1 is classified separately from the intermediates of Claims 15-24 which are structurally dissimilar compounds, i.e. cyclopenta[b]indole and are classified in various subclasses under class 548. If a reference for one would not be a reference for the other, then restriction is considered proper.

Thus, separate searches in the literature would be required. However, should applicant traverse on the ground that the intermediates are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior

art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The class/subclass search on the elected invention, i.e. the process of preparing the diazabenzo[cd]cyclopenta[a]azulene compounds would be as follows: class 540, subclasses 555 and 556 which involved 221 US patents. The various classes and subclasses mentioned above represent only the degree of burden within the U.S. Patent Classification System, this does not include the search required in the prior art of journal articles and foreign patents.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 15-26 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper filed November 20, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 1-14 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following reason(s) apply:
- a) Claims 1, 2, 4, 6 and claims dependent thereon are vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the capital letter "D" in the nomenclature of the

Application/Control Number: 10/016,229

Art Unit: 1624

formula in claims 1, 2, 4 and 6. Capital letters have a certain purpose in parts of the nomenclature as in 8H, but Diazabenzo[cd]cyclopenta[a]azulene is also capitalized.

608.01(m) Form of Claims [R - 3]

The claim or claims must commence on a separate sheet and should appear after the detailed description of the invention. While there is no set statutory form for claims, the present Office practice is to insist that each claim must be the object of a sentence starting with "I (or we) claim", "The invention claimed is" (or the equivalent). If, at the time of allowance, the quoted terminology is not present, it is inserted by the clerk. **Each claim begins with a capital letter** and ends with a period. Periods may not be used elsewhere in the claims except for abbreviations. See Fressola v. Manbeck, >36 USPQ2d 1211< (D.D.C. 1995). ** >Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation, 37 CFR 1.75(i).

- b) Claim 2 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by "Claim 1, above".
- c) Claims 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13 and 14 are vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by "A process" at the beginning of each claim.
- d) Claims 9, 12 and 13 recite the limitation "R" in the claim as being as defined in Claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- e) Claims 11 and 14 recite the limitation "R" is hydrogen. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 7 and 8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim must be in the alternative. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda L. Coleman whose telephone number is 571-272-0665. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 571-272-0674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. If you are unable to reach Dr. Shah within a 24 hour period, please contact James O. Wilson, Acting -SPE of 1624 at 571-272-0661.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brenda Coleman

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

February 22, 2004